The role of retirement on women’s and men’s wellbeing

Fulvia Signani
PsyD, Senior Equity Manager, Local Unit of Health of Ferrara; Professor in Charge of Gender Sociology and Vice Director of University Center for Studies on Gender Medicine, University of Ferrara. Received 2 August 2018; accepted 21 November 2018.

Summary. The social and individual interpretations of old age influence wellbeing in this phase of life. Consider ageism, that is a discrimination based on age, and the self-directed role of ageism in a gender-sensitive consideration can be useful in describing the complex experience of old age in the contemporary world. The specific attention at “rite of passage” of retirement can add awareness to disease acquisitions. The pre- and post-retirement phase in workers’ lives can be characterized by difficulties and the acquisition of specific diseases in this phase of life. The loss of the working role, hence of a certain social identity, has a diverse impact on women and men, maybe connected by gender that causes different reactions. A specific knowledge of the socio-psychological and gender dynamics of this important stage of life is necessary for the contemporary era with increasingly aging population, dealing with new existential meanings. The study reveals a shared agreement on how retirement has a more detrimental effect on men, especially those who adhere more to traditional masculine roles, who suffer most from the loss of social role, leading to important consequences in terms of physical and mental health. As for women, the researchers show that other variables influence more women’s health at the time of retirement, such as marital status and work history, maternity and geopolitical area of life, with more complex implications on their well-being.
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Introduction

Ageing shows the same differences between women and men as in the previous stages of life and, as gender factor, it is associated with stereotypes that create a specific age-stigma: ageism. In 2017, people aged 65 or over represented almost one fifth (19%) of the EU population. Most of the policy focus concerns social expenditure generated by the aging population to provide a range of related services. North and Fiske warn how problematic it is to consider the generic category of “older people” as one group. Therefore, ageism research and policy matters conceptualize everyone over-65 as “senior.” This tendency often fails to represent accurately subtyping ageism to consider a rapidly growing, diverse, and healthy older population mostly composed of people aged 65 to 74 (young elderly) but also over 75 (old elderly) and confirm old age strictly as diseases and death.

Ageism and ‘double standard of ageing’

The stereotypes concerning elderly people are still at a time when the over-fifty population is less numerous and not in good health. For the current generation of elderly people, one must not underestimate their poten-
tial as experts; on the other hand, it is necessary to think about social policies that envisage a cultural and social stimulation even in old age, both for personal well-being and to prevent future costs, social problems of degenerative diseases. Many physical signals and social factors determine the entry into the specific category of “elderly” in the common perception. Compared to young people, older people can be perceived to be less happy, less decision-makers, less active, and less autonomous. In this way, an elderly person risks behaving in an incompetent - negative way, to comply with the stereotypical social thought about their life. Butler was the first to coin the word “ageism” as “[a] process of systematic stereotyping or discrimination against people because they are old, just as racism and sexism accomplish with skin colour and gender. Ageism allows the younger generations to see older people as different as themselves; thus, they subtly cease to identify with their elders as human beings.” Ageism is the most prevalent type of discrimination, reported by almost 35% of all participants over the age of 18 in a recent European Social Survey (cit. in Ayalon, Tesch-Römer, 2017).

Elderly people tend to view negatively “self-directed ageism” according to social discrimination, a phenomenon that represents a risk for increased morbidity and mortality. However, elderly people frequently discriminate individuals who are older or more disabled than themselves, particularly versus women.

In 1972, Susan Sontag described the effective and famous definition of “double standard of aging,” referring to the social convention that enhances a man but progressively destroys a woman. Sontag noted indeed that older women are more negatively valued than older men, especially because the “most valued social asset” for women, i.e., physical attractiveness, diminishes, while men older see their “most precious social resources” increase, which in their case, consist of earning potential and wealth.

**A missed rite of passage: retirement**

Retirement is the point of transition from one’s position or occupation or from one’s active working life and therefore marks a significant moment in life and a change for young elderly people associated with the end of participation in the workforce, and changes in lifestyle and social roles. Research on the labour market shows that there are gender differences that have been persistent over time although the nature and magnitude of these differences have changed; these influence several important areas: wages, workforce participation, the likelihood of receiving a pension, with women permanently disadvantaged. Data from the European Social Survey for a subset of fourteen countries in the European Union examined the so-called “gender paradox” on gender differences in subjective job satisfaction assuming that women place higher value on certain work characteristics than men, thus explaining the observed differential. Women continue to report higher levels of job satisfaction than men in some countries, and the difference remains even after controlling for a wide range of personal and job characteristics and working conditions. Gender differences in job characteristics contribute relatively more to explaining the gender job satisfaction differential.

Accordingly, present-day workforce participation patterns of older individuals are extensively varied. In addition, the loss of the working role, hence of a certain social identity, has a diverse impact for women and men, connected by gender that causes different considerations and preventable consequences on the physical and mental health of elderly women and men. A specific knowledge of the socio-psychological and gender dynamics of this important stage of life is necessary for the contemporary era with an increasingly aging population, dealing with existential meanings.

**Retirement and gender mental health**

Health is a variable often associated with satisfaction in retirement such as income, social integration, and gender differences. Men, for example, are more likely to have continuous work histories with long-term health implications; in comparison, women frequently experience discontinuous employment patterns and less attachment to the work role. As a result, their mortality and morbidity rates in retirement are not as frequently linked to occupational factors. Self-esteem in retirement is dependent on pre-retirement self-esteem and pre-retirement planning, regardless of gender. Researchers comparing the psychological well-being of women and men in retirement indicate that women experience more depression and loneliness in retirement than men, thus reducing their retirement satisfaction. A number of studies examined associations between retirement and mental health showing that earlier retirement is associated with poorer mental health. People who retired before normative retirement age had the worst physical and emotional health outcomes. The Whitehall II cohort study reveals that retirement due to ill health was associated with poorer mental health and physical functioning at follow-up, whereas voluntary retirement was associated with a short period of better health.

The study by Vo et al confirmed several interesting aspects of retirement. In cases in which retirement can take place when women and men consider it the right time, which they expected and that had already seen them as protagonists, the transition is positive and in-
creases well-being. If, on the other hand, retirement is anticipated by unintended situations, for example dismissal, acquired disability, or otherwise ill health or by external pressures such as the need to take care of a sick or disabled family member, the change due to retirement coincides with a sudden loss and is not appreciated for the role of contacts with the social networks of habitual reference. These are aspects that often have negative consequences on mental health and this applies equally to women and men if they are under 65 years of age. Retirement for dismissal gives more psychological distress in both genders if it starts at age 55. Among retirees due to dismissal, men were more numerous, while among those who take care of relatives, women are more numerous. In comparison with men, women show that they do not consider retiring from paid work as the equivalent of closing themselves to active life. In fact, they are involved in a “multitude of social, community, religious, leisure and care activities”. On the other hand, men show that they suffer the most for the loss of social role, especially if linked to traditional masculine roles.

Data in accordance with the study by Byles et al.19 show that retirement is associated with psychological distress among men, specifically those who have, in addition, a high level of physical dysfunction.

**Retirement and marital status**

Research on retirement has not considered the influence of marital status (i.e., married, remarried, widowed, divorced/separated, never married) on women’s retirement satisfaction. Previous studies have measured retirement satisfaction using existing tests, for example, the Retirement Descriptive Index (RDI) and the Life Satisfaction Index-Z (LSI-Z), or the Retirement Satisfaction Inventory (RSI). However, Quick and Moen20 measured using a one-item measure: “How satisfied are you with retirement?”. Price and Joo21 used a self-administered questionnaire among 331 retired women to report on their retirement satisfaction, psychological well-being, and perceived health, and revealed that retirement satisfaction and perceived health differed by marital status. Retired unmarried women experience more social isolation, a loss of social status, reduced self-esteem, more severe depression, lower perceived health, and greater economic insecurity in retirement than men. The results of Price and Joo indicate that retirement satisfaction and perceived health scores differ between retired women with different marital histories and married and remarried women appeared to have an advantage in retirement, specifically relating to perceived health and satisfaction. The data from the 2008 US Health and Retirement Study (HRS) reveal specific characteristics for married women. They are less likely than unmarried women or men to expect to work after ages 62 and 65. Married women are more likely than any other marital status/gender group to plan to stop working in retirement, and particularly they are less likely to plan on working with reduced hours and they are expected to stop working and reduce hours earlier than their unmarried or male counterparts22.

**Discussion**

From Sontag’s studies (few) that subsequently took into account age and gender (for example, Canetto et al. 1995)23, a rather differentiated picture emerges. An interpretation of the double standard of aging seems necessary, since the data do not always confirm an oppression only against women and an excessive simplification of a rather complex social phenomenon should be avoided24. Retirement as a missed “rite of passage” deserves more attention. Most research on retirement is limited to studying the phenomenon in general and does not go into the details of analysis of what constitutes this important passage of life, differently for women and men. The few gender-oriented data obtained reveal that retirement is associated with psychological distress among men more than women, but, perhaps due to the standardized research methods (multicentre research may be better), or superficial attention to the gender factor not connected with a gender shaping methodological application, we realize that there are few data concerning experience, emotions, and fears, both before and after retirement. More research is needed and it should be detailed and attentive to cultural differences existing between genders and identify the effects of specific diseases in this phase of life.

**Key messages**

- The pre- and post-retirement phase in workers’ lives can be characterized by difficulties and the acquisition of specific diseases in this phase of life.
- The loss of the working role has a diverse impact on women and men, maybe connected by gender that causes different reactions.
- A specific knowledge of the socio-psychological and gender dynamics of this important stage of life is necessary for the contemporary era with increasingly aging population, dealing with new existential meanings.
- Retirement has a more detrimental effect on men, who suffer most from the loss of social role, leading to important consequences in terms of physical and mental health.
- As for women, other variables influence more women’s health at the time of retirement: marital status and work history, maternity and geopolitical area of life.
looking at whether and how pensions and gender can represent new risks for workers. Exploring the gender meaning of retirement is useful to suggest the appropriate personal and collective preparation, in reducing the effects of living this phase of life negatively. It should not be forgotten that the necessary “renegotiating identity” that retirement forces in women and men must be known and managed by the protagonists themselves.
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