The role of gender in Parkinson’s disease
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Summary. Since the official inclusion of sex and gender in biomedical research, gender differences are recognized as important determinants of the risk of developing neurodegenerative diseases in the healthy population. In this review, we collected the available evidence on gender differences in Parkinson’s disease regarding motor and non-motor symptoms, with a focus on neuropsychiatric symptoms. Finally, we will briefly discuss the issue of pregnancy for parkinsonian women. Though starting with a more benign phenotype, as the disease progresses, women are at higher risk of developing disabling treatment-related complications, such as motor and non-motor fluctuations as well as dyskinesia, compared with men. Taken together, these findings challenge the definition of a more benign phenotype in women. Improving our understanding in gender differences in Parkinson’s disease may result in improving our ability to tailor disease treatment and management.
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Introduction

Neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson’s disease (PD), are deeply influenced by sex differences1. Sex determining genes and fetal hormonal programming determine sex differences in brain structure and function since the beginning of fetal life and have important implications for brain-based disease risk. Then, age-related physical and hormonal changes as well as a variety of external factors, including role expectations and social attitudes, further concur to biological differences in the risk, course and outcome of neurodegenerative diseases4.

Nonetheless, only 20 years ago the first requirements to include both women and men in clinical trials and analyze results by sex were mandated by a US federal law2. Since then, gender differences have gained momentum and are recognized as important determinants for neurodegenerative disease risk and management3. Indeed, a variety of lifestyle choices associated with gender differences (e.g., smoking, diet, exercise) are known to be potential modifiers of PD risk throughout life4.

PD is the second most prevalent neurodegenerative disease after Alzheimer’s disease and is classified among the movement disorders. The loss of pigmented dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra and the deposition of α-synuclein in neurons are the two major neuropathologic findings for a definitive diagnosis of idiopathic PD3. Global incidence estimates of PD range from 5 to >35 new cases per 100,000 individuals every year, with a 5-to-10-fold increase from the sixth to the ninth decade of life. Compared with Alzheimer’s disease, the most common neurodegenerative disease, PD is more common in men. Corroborating previous data6,7, a recent French nationwide study reported an overall M:F incidence ratio of 1.49 (95% CI: 1.41-1.57, p<0.001) and an overall M:F prevalence ratio of 1.48 (95% CI: 1.45-1.51, p<0.001)8. M:F ratios progressively increase with age8.

The aim of this paper is to review gender differences in PD in motor and non-motor symptoms (NMS) with a focus on neuropsychiatric symptoms. A final paragraph will be dedicated to pregnancy during PD.
Indeed, enhancing our knowledge in gender differences in PD may have an impact on strategies to identify prodromal PD cases as well as to better tailor treatment and management of parkinsonian patients.

**Motor symptoms**

PD is clinically defined by the presence of bradykinesia and at least one additional cardinal motor feature (rigidity or rest tremor) with a striking response to levodopa. Onset of motor symptoms is usually unilateral and asymmetry persists throughout the disease. Motor fluctuations varystart after about 5 years since diagnosis and are characterized by levodopa wearing off as well as on/off periods and levodopa-induced involuntary movements termed dyskinesia.

Specific gender differences in motor symptoms characterize PD from the earliest phases. By studying a cohort of 253 subjects, Haaxma et al first showed a more benign phenotype in women with PD. The highlights of this study were that: a) at symptom onset, women are 2 years older than men and present more likely with tremor (67% vs 48%); b) tremor dominance is associated with a slower decline on motor scales; c) at symptom onset, women have less involvement of nigro-striatal fibers as shown by neuroimaging data; d) in women, age at onset correlated positively with fertile life span. Indeed, gender differences in PD presentation may be due to biological factors such as estrogenic status.

Although data suggest a more benign phenotype in women at PD onset, as the disease progresses evidence reports shorter time to develop wearing off and dyskinesia in women than in men. A growing body of evidence shows that female gender is one of the most important independent predictors of levodopa-induced dyskinesia, irrespective of body weight dyskinesia (hazard ratio 1.82; 95% CI: 1.14-2.89, p<0.001 with a median time to dyskinesia of 4 years in women and 6 years in men). A “brittle response” to levodopa has recently been described, defined as the presence of highly disabling dyskinesia after small doses (i.e., 100 mg or less per dose). Those extremely sensitive patients are mainly women (58%) with lower body weight and body mass index (63.5 vs 79.6 kg, p<0.001 and 22.3 vs 26.5, p<0.001, respectively), compared with patients without a “brittle response”. Although this study suggests new insights into the phenomenology of the response to levodopa, the genetic background of patients with “brittle response” is not mentioned.

However, lower body weight cannot entirely account for the gender discrepancy in the development of levodopa-related dyskinesia. The profound alteration in central networks and control of energy metabolism characterizing PD as well as genetic polymorphisms certainly play a role in modulating the risk of dyskinesia. There is a need for prospective ad-hoc studies to clarify why women with PD have higher rates of levodopa-related complications and are at risk for presenting a “brittle response” to levodopa.

**Non-motor symptoms**

Although PD is classically considered a movement disorder, NMS represent very common features of the disease. NMS involve different domains, such as psychiatric and behavioral problems, cognitive dysfunction, sleep disorders, gastrointestinal problems, sexual dysfunction and cardiovascular symptoms. Indeed, NMS are very common also in the elderly population occurring in about 50% of healthy people of the same age. Nonetheless, studies taking into account the so-called “background risk” attributable to normal aging have demonstrated a significantly higher prevalence of NMS among PD patients.

In recent decades, several studies have evaluated the occurrence of NMS in large cohorts of PD patients suggesting the existence of gender-related differences in NMS. Several studies have shown that specific neuropsychiatric symptoms, such as feelings of nervousness, sadness and pain, are more common in women, while reduced interest in sex and problems having sex are more prevalent in men. When analyzing the different aspects featuring depression, melancholy characterizes predominantly women, while the more classical factors associated with depression in PD, such as apathy and loss of libido, feature more prominently in men. However, as regards major limitations, these studies used different scales to evaluate NMS and only included patients on dopaminergic treatment. Since it is known that dopaminergic treatment may affect the severity and the spectrum of NMS, data from drug-naïve patients are needed before drawing conclusions. A recent study conducted on 200 early, drug-naïve PD patients and 93 age and sex-matched healthy controls was able to show disease-specific gender differences in NMS, regardless of dopaminergic treatment and disease progression. Men with PD had more frequently dribbling, sadness/blues, loss of interest, anxiety, acting-out during dreams, and taste/smelling difficulties compared with healthy control men, while women with PD reported more frequently loss of interest and anxiety compared with healthy control women. As opposed to previous data on treated parkinsonian patients, despite female parkinsonian patients presented with more neuropsychiatric symptoms when compared with their healthy counterparts, they did not report higher prevalence of mood symptoms when compared to male parkinsonian patients. Comparison with healthy controls showed that several
NMS described in healthy subjects with subsequent development of PD in large population studies (i.e., sadness/blues, acting out during dreams, taste/smelling difficulties)\textsuperscript{28-32}, are more frequent in men than in women with PD. In keeping with these findings, Liu et al. recently described a set of NMS that can best differentiate PD from controls\textsuperscript{33}. Poor olfaction was the most powerful NMS predicting PD diagnosis in both men and women, followed by a cognitive screening score. However, the presence of dysautonomia was a predictor of PD diagnosis only in men, while REM sleep behavior disorder (i.e., a sleep disorder highly specific for PD) only in women\textsuperscript{33}.

The role of gender in the response of NMS to dopamine replacement therapy was subsequently studied in a 2-year prospective assessment of gender-related differences in the burden of NMS before and after starting dopaminergic therapy.\textsuperscript{34} While sadness/blues presented a significant percentage reduction compared to baseline in both sexes, other NMS, such as urgency, daytime sleepiness, weight gain and increase in sex drive presented an increase only in men possibly in connection with disease progression as well as dopaminergic treatment\textsuperscript{34}. As for the impulse control disorders spectrum, compulsive sexual behavior is known to be more frequent in men with Parkinson’s disease, while impulsive shopping and binge eating occur more frequently in women\textsuperscript{35}.

With the progression of disease, NMS occur as non-motor fluctuations more frequently in women than in men\textsuperscript{36}. Mood-related non-motor fluctuations (i.e., anxiety, mood changes and pain) are more prevalent in women, possibly accounting for the higher prevalence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in women than in men in the treated cohort of PD patients with variable disease duration\textsuperscript{29-32}. Despite this difference, women with PD do not receive different treatments compared with men, suggesting that non-motor fluctuations in women remain mostly undertreated\textsuperscript{36}.

Regarding cognition, the literature is not consistent. As opposed to the prevalence in women of dementia (e.g., Alzheimer’s disease), male gender has been shown to act as a risk factor for the development of dementia in PD patients\textsuperscript{37}, but this association has not been confirmed by other studies that have reported a close prevalence between genders\textsuperscript{38}. However, due to the different methodology and neuropsychological assessment results across the studies are difficult to compare. In a recent case-control study including only drug-naïve patients, Liu et al. demonstrated that women outperformed men in global cognition assessments and memory domain, but underperformed in the visuo-spatial domain\textsuperscript{33}.

**Pregnancy in Parkinson’s disease**

The impact of pregnancy on PD symptoms is highly variable with reports indicating either a worsening or improvement during or shortly after pregnancy\textsuperscript{39}. Reduction or withdrawal of dopaminergic treatment may have a role in the worsening of parkinsonian symptoms\textsuperscript{40}. However, other factors, such as alteration in medication absorption and metabolism as well as physical and psychological distress, should be taken into account\textsuperscript{41}. Systematic analysis of data indicates that PD per se does not increase the risk of spontaneous abortion or birth complications. As for the anti-parkinsonian treatment, there are no specific guidelines\textsuperscript{41}. Levodopa is the most accepted option during pregnancy\textsuperscript{42}. Although levodopa crosses the placenta and is metabolized by the fetus, carbidopa, the dopamine decarboxylase inhibitor given with levodopa to reduce peripheral metabolism, does not access fetal circulation\textsuperscript{33}. Overall, levodopa has not been associated with birth complications or specific teratogenicity. Therefore, it is considered the first-line treatment in pregnant women with PD. As for breastfeeding, data are very limited, and it is typically suggested not to breastfeed while on antiparkinsonian medications.

Due to its efficacy on psychomotor status and medication sparing, deep brain stimulation is a safe option in the management of young parkinsonian women who wish to become pregnant\textsuperscript{43}. However, there is the need to define strategies to prevent and control any worsening of clinical conditions during pregnancy and to consider device-related options (i.e., rechargeable battery to avoid battery replacement and subclavicular placement instead of abdominal) in women who plan to become pregnant\textsuperscript{44}.

**The role of estrogens**

Estrogens are a likely contributor to gender differences in PD\textsuperscript{45}. Evidence seems to suggest a link between decreased PD risk and milder features at onset in women and longer estrogen exposure during lifetime. Accordingly, animal models with estrogen deprivation show dopaminergic neuron loss, altered dopaminergic metabolism and transporter uptake, which can be partially reversed by the administration of exogenous estrogens, thus suggesting that estrogens are protective against dopaminergic damage\textsuperscript{46,47}.

A large body of evidence shows that estradiol and related compounds exert neuromodulatory and neuroprotective activities in the striatum and substantia nigra through several intracellular mechanisms that ultimately decrease apoptosis of neurons. In addition, estrogens might also prevent Lewy body deposition through spe-
specific α-synuclein anti-aggregation and fibril destabilization properties\textsuperscript{46,47}.

Nonetheless, a handful of studies have tested estrogens as a treatment with a potential to slow disease progression in PD\textsuperscript{45}.

**Conclusions**

Here, we collected evidence regarding gender differences in PD motor and non-motor symptoms, as well as pregnancy in PD. Several data demonstrate that PD in women starts with a more benign phenotype, probably due to the effect of estrogens. However, as the disease progresses, women are at higher risk of developing highly disabling treatment-related complications, such as motor and non-motor fluctuations as well as dyskinesia, compared with men\textsuperscript{45}.

Improving our understanding in this field may result in the implementation of strategies to identify prodromal PD cases and expedite efforts to discern new directions for PD-tailored treatment and management.

**Key messages**

- Data demonstrate that PD in women starts with a more benign phenotype, probably due to the effect of estrogens.
- As the disease progresses, women have higher risk of developing motor and non-motor fluctuations as well as dyskinesia compared with men.
- Women have lower chances of receiving effective treatment for PD, such as deep brain stimulation.
- As a whole, these findings challenge the definition of a more benign phenotype in women.
- Improving our understanding in this field may expedite efforts to discern new directions for disease tailored treatment and management.
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