

## Gender differences in lung cancer

Alessandro Dal Maso<sup>1,2</sup>, Alessandra Ferro<sup>1,2</sup>, Giulia Pasello<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Oncology 2, Istituto Oncologico Veneto IRCCS; <sup>2</sup>Oncological, Surgical and Gastroenterological Sciences Department, University of Padua, Italy

Lung cancer (LC) is the leading cause of cancer-related death both in men and women and represents a major healthcare burden in both sexes<sup>1</sup>. However, epidemiology, risk factors, clinicopathological and molecular features and outcomes are different between males and females.

### Epidemiology

The epidemiological data have shown an increase of LC incidence among women (in the US from 25 per 100,000 in 1975 to 52 per 100,000 in 2006), whereas the incidence decreased among men (from 90 per 100,000 in 1975 to 70 per 100,000 in 2006). This is mainly due to the very large growth in tobacco consumption over the past 60 years: the prevalence of smoking in American women peaked in 1965 at 33% and remained elevated throughout the 70s before beginning to slowly decrease in 1980. By contrast, more than half of American men smoked before 1965, but the prevalence dramatically decreased during the subsequent 20 years. Currently, 18% of American women smoke compared with 23% of men<sup>2</sup>.

More men are diagnosed with LC each year, but more women live with the disease (age-adjusted death rate in 2014 in the US 51.7 per 100,000 in men, 34.7 per 100,000 in women)<sup>3</sup>.

The Italian epidemiological data reflect the US trend (LC incidence rate in women 24 per 100,000 in 2003, 32 per 100,000 in 2012; whereas in men 120 per 100,000 in 2003, 101 per 100,000 in 2012; LC mortality rate in women 20 per 100,000 in 2003, and 24 per 100,000 in 2012, in men 108 per 100,000 in 2003, but 90 per 100,000 in 2012)<sup>4</sup>.

### Risk factors

Cigarette smoking is estimated to cause about 85% of LC in the US. Women tend to smoke low tar content cigarettes and cigarettes with different filters and flavours<sup>5,6</sup>. Some case-control and cohort studies suggest that smoking causes a significantly larger increase in the relative risk of developing LC in women than in men, guessing that women are more susceptible to tobacco

smoke carcinogens than men<sup>7,8</sup>; on the contrary, results from different cohort studies generally find similar incidence and mortality rates between women and men with comparable smoking histories<sup>9</sup>.

About 15% of LC patients are never-smokers, most of them are female patients (53% of LC in females develops in never-smokers and 15% in males)<sup>10-12</sup>. LC incidence in never-smoking women may be attributable to exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, residential radon, cooking oil vapours, indoor coal and wood burning<sup>13</sup>.

Moreover, hormonal factors are also hypothesized to play an important role in LC carcinogenesis<sup>14</sup>. Estrogens promote carcinogenesis, activating carcinogens such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). Smoking women have an increased expression of the cytochrome P450, family 1, member A1 (CYP1A1) gene in the lung compared with smoking men; this enzyme is probably induced by estrogens, with a following increased level of DNA adducts and a decreased ability to detoxify tobacco carcinogens. On the other hand, estrogens promote directly the formation of DNA adducts, after metabolic activation to catechol estrogens<sup>15,16</sup>. The progesterone receptor (PR) and estrogen receptor alpha and beta (ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ ) are expressed in both extra nuclear and nuclear sites in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)<sup>17</sup>. A retrospective study has shown that estrogen therapy may increase the risk of developing lung adenocarcinoma (ADC), indeed lower age at diagnosis and poorer survival have been observed in women who received estrogens as a part of a hormonal replacement therapy regimen<sup>18</sup>.

### Clinico-pathological features

Women are more likely to receive a diagnosis at an earlier age<sup>19</sup>.

Among NSCLC, which represents 85% of LC histologies, ADC is currently the most common subtype both in men and women, but women present with proportionally more ADCs and fewer squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) than men, and with a lower histological grade<sup>19-21</sup>. Smoking habits can explain the difference in histological distribution, but other genetic and hormonal factors are likely to contribute.

Molecular druggable alterations show different frequencies between sexes. About 15% of NSCLC harbours EGFR mutations; these are found at a much higher frequency in women, non-SCC histologies, Asians, and never smokers<sup>22,23</sup>. ALK translocations are found in 3 to 7% of NSCLC and are more common among patients with a never or light smoking history, non-SCC histologies, a younger age, and in tumours wild-type for EGFR, but in the case of gender conflicting findings have been reported<sup>24-26</sup>.

## Treatment and outcomes

Multiple studies have shown better overall survival rates in women with early stage LC after surgical resection, both in NSCLC and small cell lung cancer (SCLC)<sup>27-32</sup>. A meta-analysis of 39 publications, which included more than 32,000 women and 54,000 men, reported that survival of women was significantly better than the survival of men<sup>33</sup>. Also in locally advanced and advanced disease, women experienced significantly longer survival than men independently from stage and treatment, smoking habit, or age at diagnosis<sup>34</sup>.

Sex may be regarded not only as a prognostic factor, but as a predictive factor as well: an improved benefit from chemotherapy has been observed for women with SCLC compared to men<sup>35-37</sup>. However, in advanced NSCLC this issue is controversial<sup>38,39</sup>. Women have been reported to experience greater toxicity from certain chemotherapeutic drugs<sup>38</sup>, but the choice of chemotherapy is currently not influenced by the patient's sex. Furthermore, no predictive value of sex has yet been demonstrated for EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors<sup>40-42</sup> and ALK-targeted treatment<sup>43</sup>.

As regards immunotherapy, the analysis of overall survival carried out across patient subgroups in the pivotal studies of nivolumab and pembrolizumab did not show a significant difference in the hazard ratio between women and men<sup>44,45</sup>. Carcinogens in tobacco smoke are responsible for much of the mutagenesis in NSCLC<sup>46</sup> and smoking-related lung cancers have 10 times as many somatic mutations as those from never-smokers<sup>47</sup>. Fingerprint mutation due to tobacco exposure is a cytosine to adenine transversion, which is predominantly found in smokers<sup>48</sup>. In patients with NSCLC treated with the anti-PD-1 antibody pembrolizumab, higher non-synonymous mutation burden in tumours was associated with improved objective response, durable clinical benefit, and progression-free survival; efficacy also correlated with the molecular smoking signature, higher neoantigen burden, and DNA repair pathway mutations; each factor was also associated with mutation burden<sup>49</sup>.

Pooled prospective data on more than 1300 NSCLC inoperable patients treated with radiotherapy (RT) included in Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG)

nonoperative trials showed that women treated with RT had a better overall survival<sup>50</sup>.

As far as anti-estrogen therapy in LC is concerned, a retrospective study conducted on a population of breast cancer survivors showed that anti-estrogen treatment may reduce the incidence of a second cancer in the lung when compared with non-users<sup>51</sup>. Moreover, a randomized phase II trial of erlotinib alone or combined with the anti-estrogen fulvestrant in previously treated advanced NSCLC found that the combination strategy was well tolerated and had significantly greater clinical benefit among wild-type EGFR patients<sup>52</sup>.

## References

1. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2014; 64 (1): 9-29. doi:10.3322/caac.21208
2. Giovino GA. Epidemiology of tobacco use in the United States. *Oncogene* 2002; 21 (48 REV. ISS. 6): 7326-7340. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1205808
3. Center for Disease Control and Prevention – National Center for Health Statistics. Data Access – Compressed Mortality File. [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data\\_access/cmf.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/cmf.htm). Published 2014. Accessed February 18, 2018.
4. AIOM-AIRTUM. I numeri del cancro in Italia 2017. <http://www.aiom.it/fondazione-aiom/+aiom-airtum-numericancro-2017/1,3021,0>, Published 2017. Accessed February 18, 2018.
5. Thun MJ, Lally CA, Calle EE, Heath CW, Flannery JT, Flanders WD. Cigarette smoking and changes in the histopathology of lung cancer. *JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997; 89 (21): 1580-86. doi:10.1093/jnci/89.21.1580
6. Stellman SD, Muscat JE, Thompson S, Hoffmann D, Wynder EL. Risk of squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the lung in relation to lifetime filter cigarette smoking. *Cancer* 1997; 80 (3): 382-88. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19970801)80:3<382::AID-CNCR5>3.0.CO;2-U
7. Henschke CI. Women's susceptibility to tobacco carcinogens and survival after diagnosis of lung cancer. *J Am Med Assoc* 2006; 296 (2): 180-184. doi:10.1001/jama.296.2.180
8. Henschke CI, Miettinen OS. Women's susceptibility to tobacco carcinogens. *Lung Cancer* 2004; 43 (1): 1-5. doi:10.1016/J.LUNGCAN.2003.08.024
9. Bain C, Feskanich D, Speizer FE, et al. Lung cancer rates in men and women with comparable histories of smoking. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004; 96 (11): 826-834. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15173266>. Accessed February 18, 2018.
10. Tong L, Spitz MR, Fueger JJ, Amos CI. Lung carcinoma in former smokers. *Cancer* 1996; 78 (5): 1004-1010. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19960901)78:5<1004::AID-CNCR10>3.0.CO;2-6
11. Warner EE, Mulshine JL. Lung cancer screening with spiral CT: toward a working strategy. *Oncology (Williston Park)*. 2004; 18 (5): 564-75, NaN, 583-4, 587. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15209187>. Accessed February 18, 2018.

12. Jemal A, Chu KC, Tarone RE. Recent Trends in Lung Cancer Mortality in the United States. *JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2001; 93 (4): 277-83. doi:10.1093/jnci/93.4.277
13. Samet JM, Avila-Tang E, Boffetta P, et al. Lung Cancer in Never Smokers: Clinical Epidemiology and Environmental Risk Factors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009; 15 (18): 5626-45. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0376
14. Siegfried JM. Women and lung cancer: does oestrogen play a role? *Lancet Oncol* 2001; 2 (8): 506-13. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(01)00457-0
15. Yager JD, Leibr JG. Molecular Mechanisms of Estrogen Carcinogenesis. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 1996; 36 (1): 203-32. doi:10.1146/annurev.pa.36.040196.001223
16. Cavalieri E, Chakravarti D, Guttenplan J, et al. Catechol estrogen quinones as initiators of breast and other human cancers: Implications for biomarkers of susceptibility and cancer prevention. *Biochim Biophys Acta – Rev Cancer* 2006; 1766 (1): 63-78. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2006.03.001
17. Marquez-Garban DC, Mah V, Alavi M, et al. Progesterone and estrogen receptor expression and activity in human non-small cell lung cancer. *Steroids* 2011; 76 (9): 910-20. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2011.04.015
18. Ganti AK, Sahnoun AE, Panwalkar AW, Tendulkar KK, Potti A. Hormone replacement therapy is associated with decreased survival in women with lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24 (1): 59-63. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.02.9827
19. Radzikowska E, Glaz P, Roszkowski K. Lung cancer in women: age, smoking, histology, performance status, stage, initial treatment and survival. Population-based study of 20 561 cases. *Ann Oncol* 2002; 13 (7): 1087-93. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdf187
20. Egleston BL, Meireles SI, Flieder DB, Clapper ML. Population-based trends in lung cancer incidence in women. *Semin Oncol* 2009; 36 (6): 506-15. doi:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2009.09.003
21. Kligerman S, White C. Epidemiology of Lung Cancer in Women: Risk Factors, Survival, and Screening. *Am J Roentgenol* 2011; 196 (2): 287-95. doi:10.2214/AJR.10.5412
22. Yang SH, Mechanic LE, Yang P, et al. Mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain of the epidermal growth factor receptor in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005; 11 (6): 2106-10. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-1853
23. Dogan S, Shen R, Ang DC, et al. Molecular epidemiology of EGFR and KRAS mutations in 3,026 lung adenocarcinomas: higher susceptibility of women to smoking-related KRAS-mutant cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012; 18 (22): 6169-77. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-3265
24. Sullivan I, Planchard D. ALK inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer: the latest evidence and developments. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2016; 8 (1): 32-47. doi:10.1177/1758834015617355
25. Wang Y, Wang S, Xu S, Qu J, Liu B. Clinicopathologic features of patients with non-small cell lung cancer harboring the EML4-ALK fusion gene: a meta-analysis. *Chellappan SP, ed. PLoS One* 2014; 9 (10): e110617. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110617
26. Fan L, Feng Y, Wan H, Shi G, Niu W. Clinicopathological and demographical characteristics of non-small cell lung cancer patients with alk rearrangements: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Addison CL, ed. PLoS One* 2014; 9 (6): e100866. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100866
27. Fu JB, Kau TY, Severson RK, Kalemkerian GP. Lung cancer in women: analysis of the national surveillance, epidemiology, and end results database. *Chest* 2005; 127 (3): 768-77. doi:10.1378/chest.127.3.768
28. de Perrot M, Licker M, Bouchardy C, Usel M, Robert J, Spiliopoulos A. Sex differences in presentation, management, and prognosis of patients with non-small cell lung carcinoma. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2000; 119 (1): 21-6. doi:10.1016/S0022-5223(00)70213-3
29. Minami H, Yoshimura M, Miyamoto Y, Matsuoka H, Tsubota N. Lung cancer in women. *Chest* 2000; 118 (6): 1603-9. doi:10.1378/chest.118.6.1603
30. Alexiou C, Onyeaka CVP, Beggs D, et al. Do women live longer following lung resection for carcinoma? *Eur J Cardio-Thoracic Surg* 2002; 21 (2): 319-25. doi:10.1016/S1010-7940(01)01114-9
31. Ferguson MK, Wang J, Hoffman PC, et al. Sex-associated differences in survival of patients undergoing resection for lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000; 69 (1): 245-50. doi:10.1016/S0003-4975(99)01078-4
32. Yoshino I, Baba H, Fukuyama S, et al. A time trend of profile and surgical results in 1123 patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Surgery* 2002; 131 (1 Suppl): S242-8. doi:10.1067/MSY.2002.119796
33. Nakamura H, Ando K, Shinmyo T, et al. Female Gender Is an Independent Prognostic Factor in Non-small-cell Lung Cancer: A Meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011; 17 (5): 469-80. doi:10.5761/atcs.0a.10.01637
34. Visbal AL, Williams BA, Nichols FC, et al. Gender differences in non-small-cell lung cancer survival: an analysis of 4,618 patients diagnosed between 1997 and 2002. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004; 78 (1): 209-15. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsurg.2003.11.021
35. Spiegelman D, Maurer LH, Ware JH et al. Prognostic factors in small-cell carcinoma of the lung: an analysis of 1,521 patients. *J Clin Oncol* 1989; 7 (3): 344-54. doi:10.1200/JCO.1989.7.3.344
36. Singh S, Parulekar W, Murray N, et al. Influence of sex on toxicity and treatment outcome in small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23 (4): 850-56. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.03.171
37. Paesmans M, Sculier JP, Lecomte J, et al. Prognostic factors for patients with small cell lung carcinoma: analysis of a series of 763 patients included in 4 consecutive prospective trials with a minimum follow-up of 5 years. *Cancer* 2000; 89 (3): 523-33. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10931451>. Accessed February 18, 2018.
38. Wakelee HA, Chang ET, Gomez SL, et al. Lung cancer incidence in never smokers. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; 25 (5): 472-78. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.07.2983
39. Scagliotti GV, Parikh P, von Pawel J, et al. Phase III study comparing cisplatin plus gemcitabine with cisplatin plus pemetrexed in chemotherapy-naive patients with advanced-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26 (21): 3543-51. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.15.0375
40. Kris MG, Natale RB, Herbst RS, et al. Efficacy of gefitinib, an inhibitor of the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase, in symptomatic patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *JAMA* 2003; 290 (16): 2149. doi:10.1001/jama.290.16.2149

41. Shepherd FA, Rodrigues Pereira J, Ciuleanu T, et al. Erlotinib in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353 (2): 123-32. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa050753
42. Yang JC-H, Wu Y-L, Schuler M, et al. Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-Lung 6): analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; 16 (2): 141-51. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(14)71173-8
43. Solomon BJ, Mok T, Kim D-W, et al. First-line crizotinib versus chemotherapy in ALK-positive lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 371 (23): 2167-77. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1408440
44. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 373 (17): 1627-39. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1507643
45. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim D-W, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)* 2016; 387: 1540-50. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01281-7
46. Pfeifer GP, Denissenko MF, Olivier M, Tretyakova N, Hecht SS, Hainaut P. Tobacco smoke carcinogens, DNA damage and p53 mutations in smoking-associated cancers. *Oncogene* 2002; 21 (48): 7435-51. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1205803
47. Govindan R, Ding L, Griffith M, et al. Genomic landscape of non-small cell lung cancer in smokers and never-smokers. *Cell* 2012; 150 (6): 1121-34. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.08.024
48. Jia P, Pao W, Zhao Z. Patterns and processes of somatic mutations in nine major cancers. *BMC Med Genomics* 2014; 7: 11. doi:10.1186/1755-8794-7-11
49. Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A, et al. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. *Science* 2015; 348: 124-8. doi:10.1126/science.aaa1348
50. Siddiqui F, Bae K, Langer CJ, et al. The Influence of Gender, Race, and Marital Status on Survival in Lung Cancer Patients: Analysis of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Trials. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010; 5 (5): 631-9. doi:10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181d5e46a
51. Chu S-C, Hsieh C-J, Wang T-F, Hong M-K, Chu T-Y. Anti-estrogen use in breast cancer patients reduces the risk of subsequent lung cancer: A population-based study. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2017; 48: 22-8. doi:10.1016/j.canep.2017.02.010
52. Garon EB, Siegfried JM, Dubinett SM, Parikh RJ, Patel R, Reckamp KL. Abstract 4664: result of TORI L-03, a randomized, multicenter phase II clinical trial of erlotinib (E) or E + fulvestrant (F) in previously treated advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Cancer Res* 2013; 73 (8 Supp): 2-3. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.AM2013-4664

---

*Correspondence to*

**Giulia Pasello**

Oncologia Medica 2

Istituto Oncologico Veneto IRCCS

Via Gattamelata 64

35128 Padova, Italy

email giulia.pasello@ioveneto.it